



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,380	06/23/2008	Atsushi Yamazaki	1625-211	1088
86002	7590	05/04/2011	EXAMINER	
J. Rodman Steele, Jr.			ZIMMER, ANTHONY J	
Novak Druce & Quigg LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
525 Okeechobee Blvd				1736
Suite 1500				
West Palm Beach, FL 33401				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/04/2011		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/597,380	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ANTHONY J. ZIMMER	1736	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 February 2011.

2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 5-24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

**Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.**

Claim 3 recites “basal spacing being 0.85 nm or more in a nitric acid type, while 0.78 nm or more in a carbonic acid type.” As worded the claim is indefinite because it is unclear of what the types are and whether or not the particular types are required in the structure. Further, the use of the term “while” presents another problem because it appears that the claim requires that the substance have both spacings (0.85 nm and 0.78 nm) and all three types (nitric acid, carbonic acid, and chlorine).

Claim 4 uses the term “enabling” which renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the rest of the claims limitations are properties of the hydrotalcite-like substance or if they are an intended function or process step. The claim further specifies the "co-presence" of carbonate ions. Such a co-presence as recited implies that other ions besides carbonate ions are present, but as worded the claim is indefinite because it is unclear if other ions are present. Also the limitation provided by the phrase "relative to anions" is unclear, and thus one of ordinary skill in the art would not be apprised of the metes and bounds of the claim.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

**Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the journal article by Zhao et al.**

In regard to claims 1 and 2, Zhao teaches a hydrotalcite-like substance containing magnesium and aluminum and having crystallite sizes in the range of the claim. See Table 1 thereof. The claim recites product-by-process limitations which limit the claims in terms of the structural implications of the recited steps. Also, a product of the prior art appears to be the same as that claims anticipates or renders obvious the claimed product even though it is produced by a different process. See MPEP 2113. The instant process limitations provide for a magnesium aluminum hydrotalcite-like substance.

In regard to claim 3, Zhao teaches basal spacings of 0.7909 nm, 0.7823 nm, and 0.7944 nm (the hydrotalcite-like substance is the carbonic acid type. See Table 1 and the introduction section.

**Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the journal article by Miyata (“Anion-Exchange Properties of Hydrotalcite-like Compounds”).**

In regard to claim 3, Miyata teaches hydrotalcite-like substances and teaches ion-exchange in the presence of the carbonate ion. See the first paragraph of the Experimental Section on page 305.

In regard to claim 4, Miyata teaches basal spacings in a hydrotalcite-like substance of 0.879 nm in a nitric acid type, 0.765 nm for a carbonic acid type, and 0.786 nm for a chlorine type. See Table 3.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the journal article by Zhao et al.**

In regard to claim 4, Zhao is silent regarding the ion adsorption or ion exchange behavior in the co-presence of carbonate ions. However, the product of Zhao appears

to be the same as the of the instant invention (see above regarding claims 1-3), thus the product of Zhao would have the same properties including ion adsorption and ion exchange behavior. See MPEP 2112.01.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTHONY J. ZIMMER whose telephone number is (571)270-3591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ajz

/Anthony J Zimmer/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1736

/Stanley Silverman/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1736